SCIENCEDOMAIN *international*
www.sciencedomain.org

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART A:

Journal Name:	American Chemical Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	**MS: 2012/ACSJ/1617**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Synthesis and Characterization of Nano Structured Zinc(II) Cysteine Complex Under Ultrasound Irradiation.**

(Note: Title of different sections as proposed below may differ in case of review paper)

SDI Review Form 1.5

PART B: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part and write here 'Corrected'/ if not agreed, give suitable justifications)*
General comment:	**Poor (level 3-4 in 1-10 scale), mainly due to technical quality and bad English.**	Corrected
Specific comments:		
Title and abstract	OK.	
Introduction *(Is the problem/objective of this study original, important and well defined?)*	OK.	
Are all the references cited relevant, adequate? Are there any other suitable current references authors need to cite?	OK.	
Materials & methods *(Kindly comment on the suitability of the methods. Sufficient details of the methods should be provided to allow peers to evaluate and/or replicate the work)*	"The ultrasonic generator automatically adjusts the power level." – What was applied power level?	Corrected
Results & discussion (Kindly comment on: 1. Are the data well controlled and robust? 2. Authors should provide relevant references during discussion. 3. Discussion and conclusions should be based on actual facts and figures. Biased claims should be pointed out. 4. Are statistical analyses must for this paper? If yes, have sufficient and appropriate statistical analyses been carried out?)	OK.	
Are there any ethical or competing interest issues?	No.	
Conclusion (Is the conclusion supported by the data, discussed inside the manuscript? Conclusions should not be biased and should be based on the data, presented inside the manuscript only)	Yes.	
References		